Guiding and Gauging Student Metacognition in Physics

AAPT Summer Meeting - University of Minnesota July 28, 2014



Andrew Boudreaux Western Washington University Bellingham,WA

Ongoing work in Department of Physics at Western Washington University



<u>Collaborators:</u>

Sara Julin Whatcom Community College

Mila Kryjevskaia North Dakota State University

Mac Stetzer University of Maine





<u>Current undergraduate research</u> <u>students:</u>

> Therese Claire Alistair McInerny Tija Tippett

Former students involved in project:

Trevor Croswell Drew Grennell Sepideh Rishel

How People Learn: Key Findings

Metacognition as "the ability to monitor one's current level of understanding and decide when it is not adequate ... extremely important for learners at all ages."

"Expert teachers know the kinds of difficulties that students are likely to face, and they know how to tap into their students' existing knowledge" (Pedagogical content knowledge)

"Metacognition can help students develop personally relevant pedagogical content knowledge ... In short, students need to develop the ability to teach themselves." "Developing self-consciousness concerning one's own thinking is perhaps the highest reasoning skill. It involves standing back and recognizing the processes one is using, providing the basis for conscious transfer of reasoning methods from familiar to unfamiliar contexts. Given such awareness, one can begin to penetrate new situations by asking oneself probing questions and constructing answers." "The teaching of metacognitive skills should be integrated into the curriculum ...

... instruction in metacognition must take place within discipline-specific content ... "

Metacognition is extremely important.

Lippman Kung and Lindner, 2007

"Research on students natural-incontext metacognitive activity is rare."

"Whether a statement is simply cognition or metacognition is not straightforward to determine."

Metacognition is extremely important.

Metacognition is largely private.

Can metacognition be fostered within the constraints of a traditional physics course?

How can we determine the extent to which it was learned?

Outline

- Background and motivation
- An instructional approach for promoting reflection
- Analyzing students' reflective writing: Metacognitive Elements Rubric
- Preliminary findings

Metacognition: Foundations

Schoenfeld, 1987

- What (exactly) are you doing? (Can you describe it precisely?)
- Why are you doing it? (How does it fit into the solution?)
- How does it help you? (What will you do with the outcome when you obtain it?)

• Veenman, 2012

"One of the reappearing problems with metacognition research is the 'fuzziness' of the concept...

Metacognition is extremely important.

Metacognition is largely private.

Metacognition is "fuzzy."

Redish: Teaching Physics

Metacognition as executive function – a thinking process that is used to manage and control other thinking processes.

"The key element in the mental model I want my students to use in learning physics [is] reflection – thinking about their own thinking. This includes a variety of activities, including evaluating their ideas, thinking about consistency, considering what other ideas might be possible ..."

Students may waste time and effort following unproductive approaches through a lack of metacognitive activity.

"Flavors" of metacognition

- Forward looking
- In-the-moment
- Backward looking
 - Reflective Thinking

Components of Reflective Thinking: Retracing the learning pathway

- Identify problematic and productive aspects of my initial reasoning.
- Diagnose the conceptions (i.e, mental models) underlying that reasoning.
- Describe specific differences in my thinking then compared to now.
- Retrace my learning pathway: How did I come to know what I know?

Recent PER work on Reflective Thinking

Yerushalmi et al (2012)
Huang and Calman (2012)
Mason and Singh (2009)
May and Etkina (2002), Etkina (1999)

Defining a concept: What is mass?

The amount of matter an object contains...

or . . .

The number of hex nuts needed to balance an object.

Defining a concept: What is mass?

or . . .

The amount of matter an object contains...

The number of hex nuts needed to balance an object.

Can metacognition be operationalized?

Context: Intro calc-based physics at WWU

- required 3-hr lab section (N ~ 25 each) taught by undergraduate TA
- 2-4 lecture sections (N ~ 60 each) with students mixing in labs

Labs in Introductory Mechanics

- Lab I: Concepts of Motion
- Lab 2: Acceleration in One Dimension
- Lab 3: Motion in Two Dimensions
- Lab 4: Forces
- Lab 5: Newton's 2nd Law and Static Friction
- Lab 6: Tension and Newton's 3rd Law
- Lab 7: Momentum



Scaffolded activity in which students reflect on own learning pathways

Reflective Thinking: Instructional Sequence

- Prelab: Eliciting initial ideas
- Lab: Research-based instructional sequence
- Lab: Revisiting initial ideas (small group discussion)
- Lab HW: Post-lab question
- Lab HW:Written Learning Commentary

Kinematics Prelab (for Lab 2):

A bouncy ball is released from rest above the ground. The ball moves downward with increasing speed, hits the ground, bounces, and moves upward with decreasing speed.

- Sketch position and velocity graphs.
- During the bounce, is the acceleration of the ball *upward*, *downward*, or *zero*? Explain.



Dynamics Prelab (for Lab 7):

Imagine that you are an actor in the movie *Jurassic Park*. In one scene, you are walking down a hallway toward a door that is slightly ajar. When you are 10 feet away, you realize there is a Velociraptor on the other side. You have one chance to close the door – by throwing a large ball at it. (You happen to have a large ball in your hand . . .) Would you rather have a super-bouncy ball or a sticky lump of clay (assuming equal mass

and size)? Explain.









Lab: Acceleration in One Dimension

Lab 2: Acceleration in One Dimension

Name:

Introduction

Last week you used graphs, words, and equations to represent the motion of objects along a straightline path. This week you will continue to analyze motion in one dimension, with a focus on the concept of acceleration. Galileo pioneered this concept in the early 1600's. He famously noted that a rock dropped from the top of the mast of a ship that is moving steadily across the sea will travel straight down the mast to its base, rather than "falling behind." Galileo concluded that uniform motion is, in a fundamental sense, the same as being at rest, while accelerated motion is different. Today acceleration is the central concept of kinematics. As you'll explore later, acceleration forms the main link between the way things move and what *causes* them to move (force). But we're getting ahead: in today's lab you will conduct some variations of Galileo's famous free-fall experiment, using modern technology to collect data.

Learning targets

Listed below are the main learning goals for this lab. These goals have overlap with the learning targets from last week, so that you have multiple opportunities to think about the key concepts. Each activity states up front which of the learning targets it focuses on.

After this lab, you should be able to:

- Translate back and forth between multiple representations of motion (including graphs, equations, and natural language).
- 2. Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion.
- 3 Use a velocity graph to measure acceleration in m/s² and in "g's "

Inside the WWU Introductory Mechanics Lab:



Activity I: Motion in one dimension with a turnaround

This activity focuses on Learning Target 2, analysis of a "turn around," and Learning Target 3, measuring acceleration.

Obtain a track and a low friction cart. Using a folder, book, or other object, incline the track by a few degrees. Place the cart at the bottom of the track and give it a quick shove such that it moves up the incline and back down again.

A. In a moment, you will place a motion sensor at the bottom of the incline and repeat the experiment. First, sketch a prediction of the velocity <u>vs</u> time graph that will be produced. Make your sketch for the part of the motion that starts *just after* the quick shove and ends *just before* the catch.



B. Connect a motion sensor to the interface box, with the yellow plug in Ch.1 and black plug in Ch.2. Start up the computer program required for graphing the motion sensor data. (Either create your own file for a velocity or time areas a graphing the motion sensor data.) Place the motion

C. When Victor, Kate and Devon were going through this activity together they had different ideas about the cart's acceleration at the turnaround point.



Victor: "The acceleration should be zero at the turnaround. That's when the cart switches from moving up to moving down."

Kate: "From just before the turnaround to just after, there is a change in velocity. That means there should be an acceleration."

Victor: "But nothing changes in an instant. The instantaneous acceleration should be zero right at the top."

C. When Victor, Kate and Devon were going through this activity together they had different ideas about the cart's acceleration at the turnaround point.



Victor: "The acceleration should be zero at the turnaround. That's when the cart switches from moving up to moving down."

Kate: "From just before the turnaround to just after, there is a change in velocity. That means there should be an acceleration."

Victor: "But nothing changes in an instant. The instantaneous acceleration should be zero right at the top."

Discuss the dialogue with your partners and annotate it with colored pencil. Use blue or green to mark the parts that make sense and are good building blocks for understanding, and red to mark the parts that are problematic and need modification. Add brief comments to show how the productive parts could be built on and/or the problematic parts improved.

The idea that *something* must be zero at the turnaround is compelling and in some way makes sense. What might Victor be thinking about, and how could you help him refine his ideas?

Activity III: Bouncy-ball motion

×

This activity addresses Learning Targets 1-3 in a somewhat more complicate scenario: a ball that falls freely to the ground and then bounces back up.

You will use the ultrasonic sensor to examine this motion. Set up the rod assembly and connect the motion sensor so that it is "looking downward" at the motion of the ball.

A. The prelab asked you to predict the motion graphs for the bouncy ball experiment. Before you carry out the experiment, review your prelab and discuss your predicted graphs with your partners. When you are ready, draw group consensus predictions on the axes below.

Does the bounce happen instantaneously? If not, determine how much time the ball spends in contact with the ground and mark and label this interval on your velocity graph. Explain.

During the bounce, does the velocity of the ball change? If so, is the direction of the change in velocity vector upward or downward?

During the bounce, is the direction of the ball's acceleration vector upward, downward, or zero? Explain.

Lab: Revisiting Initial Ideas

Activity IV: Reflection

This activity asks you to reflect on your thinking and learning by comparing your original prelab explanations to your current understanding.

Obtain your prelab. Together with your partners, go over the questions and come to agreement on how to explain them. As you go, make annotations in colored pencil. Use green or blue to underline the parts of your reasoning that were productive, and red for parts that need revising. Add brief comments to identify where and how your initial ideas were problematic. Focus not just on whether you had the correct answers, but also on the quality of your explanations. Discuss your reflections with your partners.

When you are ready, check your annotated prelab with your instructor. Go over your understanding of the physics underlying the prelab questions, as well as any specific difficulties or misconceptions that you have identified in your initial ideas. Take this opportunity to discuss your ideas in detail with your lab partners and your instructor.

After participating in the checkout discussion, have your instructor initial your prelab,

Take your annotated prelab with you when you leave.

Video Clip I: "So that's something we all misunderstood"



Discussion of acceleration during the bounce
Video Clip I: "So that's something we all misunderstood"



Discussion of acceleration during the bounce

Video Clip 2: "Why did we think that?"



Discussion of bouncy ball vs sticky ball

Video Clip 2: "Why did we think that?"



Discussion of bouncy ball vs sticky ball

Lab: Revisiting Initial Ideas



Focus on current answers and reasoning

Lab: Revisiting Initial Ideas



Focus on initial ideas

Lab HW: Postlab question

A child's toy consists of an elastic band that connects a wooden paddle and a small rubber ball. The paddle is used to give the ball a quick downward whack, after which the ball moves downward with decreasing speed, comes to rest for an instant, and then moves upward with increasing speed.

During the different parts of the motion (*not* including the whack), is the acceleration of the ball *upward*, *downward*, or *zero*? Explain your reasoning, and make sure to discuss the turnaround point.

A roller coaster carries terrified patrons down a steep incline. On the way down, they go through an artificial "thunderstorm" that involves sprinklers. You're the technical consultant, and your boss gives you certain parameters the ride must fit into. She wants customers to be subjected to the "rain" for only 0.2 second; furthermore, the length of the water spraying device is fixed, providing a set distance along the track during which the spray comes down.

How far above the water sprayer should the roller coaster car start? (Your lab group will model this scenario with a ball bearing rolling down an inclined track.) A written "learning commentary" in which students discuss how their understanding of specific physics concepts has changed.



increasing levels of scaffolding

An early version:

- A. Collaborate with your partners and come to a consensus about the acceleration during the bounce.
- B. Obtain your prelabs and discuss how each group member was originally thinking about the question. Indentify specific areas where reasoning was incomplete or incorrect.
- C. Compare your current understanding and your initial ideas (parts A and B) to describe how your thinking has changed.

<u>Remember</u>: Steps A-C are interrelated and we encourage you to bounce back and forth between them as needed. Also, try to fill in the table *during* your discussion to avoid repeating your explanations. Use notes and bullet points rather than full paragraphs.

	4	۲		L
4			٠	a.
1.1	ł	ŀ	-	L
_	_	•	_	

A. Current consensus answer:	B. Incorrect group ideas:	C. Description of how your ideas have changed and what you have learned:
------------------------------	---------------------------	---



increasing levels of scaffolding

Current Version:

Lab 2 HW

- Now write your own narrative reflection. It should be typed, between 1-3 paragraphs (but not more than a single page). Your narrative should include the following:
 - Select one of the learning targets. Pick the one for which you feel you made the most significant gains in understanding. Quote the selected learning target.
 - Initial understanding. What specifically did you understand about this learning target coming into the lab? What was unclear to you or misunderstood? Cite evidence from your written work.
 - Current understanding. Summarize your current understanding of the learning target. Highlight how your current understanding differs from your initial. Cite evidence from your written work.
 - Learning "pathway." Discuss what led to the changes in your understanding (e.g. a specific experiment, a computer simulation, etc.). Be more specific than just listing the activities. Discuss what you did and how it helped you change your idea.

Sample student narrative: Glimpsing the realm of forces

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 seconds. Since acceleration reflects the change in velocity over the change in time, that there must be a momentary acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

To what extent are the quality and depth of student reflection associated with conceptual learning?

Do the quality and depth of student reflection improve over time with practice?

Can the quality and depth of student reflection be reliably measured?!

Metacognitive Elements Rubric (MER)

Need: A reliable measure of the amount, depth and quality of student reflection.

Response: Iterative development of a scheme for coding student writing.

Start "top down" with components of reflective thinking. Modifications based on analysis of student writing.

- Identify problematic/productive aspects of initial reasoning.
- Diagnose underlying learning difficulty.
- Describe differences in thinking then compared to now.
- Retrace my learning pathway:

How did I come to know what I know?

Metacognitive Elements Rubric (MER)

13 codes in 4 code groups:

Cognition (Codes I-4): State initial and current answers and explanation.

Reflection on initial ideas (Codes 5-7): Identify and describe problematic aspects of underlying ideas.

Reflection on current ideas (Codes 8-10): Identify and describe newly understood ideas.

Metacognition (Codes II-I3): Highlight changes in thinking and discuss "trigger events".

Non-Codes

- "I learned a lot ..."
- "I don't have any questions ..."
- "...." "There isn't anything I'm confused about
- "My answer to question 2.b was wrong"
- "The lab didn't help me"
- "I got all of the prelab questions right"

Applying the MER to students' reflective writing

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time gravity realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 seconds. Since acceleration reflects the change in velocity over the change in time, that there must be a momentary acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time gravity realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 seconds. Since acceleration reflects the change in velocity over the change in time, that there must be a momentary acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

- 1: Statement of initial answer
- 2: Statement of initial reasoning

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 seconds. Since acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

12: Identifies action or thought as a cause of or impetus for change in understanding

5: Identifies an idea as problematic

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 secures. Since acceleration reflects the change in velocity over the change in time, that there must be a momentary acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

12: Identifies action or thought as a cause of or impetus for change in understanding

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and an lyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 sectores. Since acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a force. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

- 3: Statement of current answer
- 4: Statement of current reasoning

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 sectores. Since acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a pole. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

10: Illustrates or applies a new concept or idea

When I first came into the lab I did not have the proper understanding of learning target number 2. "Analyze the turnaround point of a one-dimensional accelerated motion." My initial understanding of the momentary acceleration at the turn around point was that the only acceleration the ball was feeling was that of gravity. When I started to experiment in lab and analyze what force propelled it back up (in what we designated the negative direction) I began to question my previous hypothesis. How could the ball change directions if the only acceleration it was experiencing was that of gravity? When interpreting the velocity vs. time graph I realized that the time the ball was on the ground was .05 sectores. Since acceleration reflects the change in velocity over the change in the three must be a momentary acceleration upward to propel the ball. That momentary acceleration is what we classify as a pole. Tracking the balls velocity vs. time allowed me to change my preconceived notion that the only acceleration is due to gravity and allowed me to glimpse into the realm of forces.

State answer/reasoning

Reflect on initial ideas

Reflect on current ideas

Analyze change in thinking

11: Compares, contrasts, or relates ideas from before and after learning episode

Preliminary Findings (N = 17)

- Introductory calc-based mechanics, Fall 2012
- Single lecture section
- Criteria for inclusion:
 - student completed narrative reflection assignment for all 7 labs
 - student completed FCI both pre- and post-course



• Avg: 9 codes per student per lab; low 5, high 16.

• Labs 2, 3, and 4 have fewer total codes than the average of all 6 labs, while labs 5, 6, and 7 have more



Initial ideas Code Group

5: Student identifies statement/idea as problematic

6: Student explains what is problematic

7: Student reflects on what led them to/caused problematic idea



More 5's, fewer 6's:

More common for students to <u>identify</u> a response as problematic than to <u>analyze</u> specific flaws in their reasoning.



More 8's, fewer 9's and 10's:

More common for students to identify an idea they are now more comfortable with than to describe or illustrate their understanding of that idea.

Study 2: Correlating reflection and conceptual learning



FCI gain independent of overall amount of reflection

Study 2: Correlating reflection and conceptual learning



Association between FCI gain and proportion of narrative devoted to reflection on current ideas

CONCLUSIONS

- We have developed a weekly, scaffolded writing assignment in which students reflect on how they came to understand a specific physics topic or idea.
- We have designed and tested a rubric for categorizing the types of reflective statements made by students in response to the assignment.
- Preliminary findings suggest that students do reflect in specific desirable ways on their own learning.
- Research is ongoing.

Thank you

For more, please visit Alistair's PERC poster:

McInerny, Boudreaux & Kryjevskaia; Wednesday 7pm

Narrative Reflection Lab 2

My understanding of learning target number 2 ("analyze the turnaround point of a onedimensional accelerated motion") did change in a sense during this lab, although most of the change was in my way of approaching this type of problem. Initially, I assumed the change in the ball's velocity was going to be instantaneous when it bounced, and that the velocity graph would have discontinuities when the ball bounced. When I saw the graph generated by the computer of the ball's velocity, I quickly realized that this was an unrealistic assumption based on differential calculus, and that while calculus describes physical observations really well, the assumption of instantaneous change should not override common sense assumption (which is that nothing happens instantaneously).

The measured acceleration of the ball during the bounce was about 3 g's, which makes sense if the ball is going to move upward with the same velocity because something (the normal force) had the cancel the acceleration due to gravity, and then another force had to make the ball go back up. This is what my lab partners and I discussed. This also means that technically the "corners" drawn on the ball's position graph should actually be really small parabolas. However, if the ball truly changed its velocity instantaneously, my initial prediction would be correct.